# <section-header>Real-Time Systems Programming Summer-Semester 2002 Lecture 10 17 May 2002

#### Where are we?

- 1) **Programming in the large** 
  - > Object-oriented programming
  - > Reusability
- 2) Dependability terminology

R. v. Hanxleden

SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture\_10.sdd

# **OOP** and Java

#### • *Recall:* OO facilities may be based on

Type extensions (Oberon, Ada)

Introduction of *classes* into language (Java)

#### • Each class encapsulates

Data (*instance variables*)

Operations on the data (*methods* including *constructor* methods)

-----

• Each class can belong to a *package* 

R. v. Hanxleden

SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture\_10.sdd

# **OOP** and Java

- A class may be
  - Local to the package or
  - Visible to other packages (in which case it is labelled public)
- Other class modifiers:
  - > abstract (cannot create objects from this directly)
  - > final (cannot derive subclasses)
- Similarly, methods and instance variables have modifiers as being
  - > public (visible outside the class)
  - > protected (visible only within package or in a subclass)
  - > private (visible only to the class)

R. v. Hanxleden

SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture\_10.sdd

### Java Example

```
import somepackage.Element; // import element type
   package queues;
                                   // package name
                                 // class local to package
   class QueueNode
   {
     Element
                data;
     QueueNode next;
   }
   // Class available from outside the package
   public class Queue
   {
     QueueNode front, back; // instance variables
                                 // public constructor
     public Queue()
     ł
       front = null;
       back = null;
     }
R. v. Hanxleden
                                                              Foil 5
                     SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture_10.sdd
```

## Java Example

```
public void insert(Element E)
                                      // visible method
    QueueNode newNode = new QueueNode();
    newNode.data = E;
    newNode.next = null;
    if (empty()) {front = newNode;}
    else { back.next = newNode; }
    back = newNode;
  }
 public void remove(Element E) // visible method
    if (!empty()) { E = front.data;
      front = front.next; }
     // Garbage collection will free up the QueueNode object
  }
 public boolean empty()
                                   // visible method
  { return (front == null); }
}
R. v. Hanxleden
                                                              Foil 6
                     SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture_10.sdd
```

----------

## • Note:

- There is no concept of a *package specification* as in Ada
- However, similar functionality can be provided using *interfaces* – see later

- Inheritance in Java is obtained by deriving one class from another
- As Ada, Java supports only inheritance from a single parent
- However, can achieve *multiple inheritance* using *interfaces* (see later)

R. v. Hanxleden

SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture\_10.sdd

```
package coordinate;
public class Coordinate // Java is case sensitive
{
  float X, Y;
  // Constructor
  public Coordinate(float initial_X, float initial_Y)
  { X = initial_X;
    Y = initial_Y; \};
  public void set(float F1, float F2)
    X = F1;
  {
     Y = F2; ;
  public float getX()
  { return X; }
  public float getY()
  { return Y; };
  public void plot() { // plot a two D point
   ...};
};
```

R. v. Hanxleden

SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture\_10.sdd



```
// An overridden method
     public void set(float F1, float F2, float F3)
      ł
        set(F1, F2);
                                    // call superclass set
        Z = F3;
      };
     // A new method
     public float getZ()
     { return Z; }
     // Another overridden method
     public void plot() { // plot a three D point
        ...};
   };
R. v. Hanxleden
                     SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture_10.sdd
                                                               Foil 10
```

• Unlike Ada, *all* method calls are dispatching

... with the associated timing unpredictability

```
Coordinate A = new Coordinate(Of, Of);
A.plot(); // Plots a 2-D coordinate
ThreeDimension B = new ThreeDimension(Of, Of, Of);
A = B; // Recall: A and B are reference types
A.plot(); // Plots a 3-D coordinate
```

R. v. Hanxleden

SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture\_10.sdd

# The Object Class

#### • All classes are implicit subclasses of class Object



- Most of these methods will be discussed further in the context of monitors
- There are further methods not listed here:
  - > getClass()
  - > toString()
  - > hashCode()
  - > clone()

# Reusability

- SW production is an expensive business and costs are still rising
- One reason:
  - SW is typically constructed "from scratch"
  - > Compare this with the situation in HW!
- Obtaining *SW reuse* is a quest of SW engineering
  - However, apart from specific areas (e.g., numerical analysis), this quest is still unfulfilled!
- One obstacle:
  - Strong typing

R. v. Hanxleden

SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture\_10.sdd

# Interfaces in Java

- ... augment classes to increase the *reusability* of code
- Are similar to Ada's generics
- Are a special form of class that defines the specification of a set of methods and constants
- Allow relationships to be constructed between classes outside of the class hierarchy
- Are by definition *abstract* 
  - No instances of interfaces can be declared
  - > Instead, one or more classes can *implement* an interface
  - Objects implementing interfaces can be passed as arguments to methods by defining the parameter to be of the interface type

R. v. Hanxleden

 $SS\ 2002-Real-Time\ Systems\ Programming\ -\ Lecture\_10.sdd$ 





R. v. Hanxleden

SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture\_10.sdd

```
// Constructor
public ComplexNumber(float I, float J)
{
    realPart = I;
    imagPart = J;
    };

    public float getReal() {
    return realPart;
    };

    public float getImag() {
    return imagPart;
    };
}
```



- Note that when two objects are exchanged, their *reference values* are exchanged
  - Hence, the type of object does not matter
  - Only prerequisite: must implement Ordered interface

```
public static Ordered largest(Ordered oa[], int size)
{
    Ordered tmp;
    int pos;
    pos = 0;
    for (int i = 1; i < size; i++) {
        if (! oa[i].lessThan(oa[pos])) {
            pos = i;
            };
        };
        return oa[pos];
    };
}
R.v.Handen SS202-Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture 10.sdd Fol 19</pre>
```



### Summary

- Strong typing
  - is generally particularly desirable for real-time systems due to the robustness it provides
  - but is an impediment to SW reuse
- *Java* offers the *interface* mechanism to circumvent this problem
- Ada (and C++) provide *generic* primitive to enhance reuse

R. v. Hanxleden

SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture\_10.sdd

#### Where are we?

- 1) Programming in the large
- 2) Dependability terminology
  - > Reliability
  - > Safety
  - Maintainability
  - Availability
  - > Security

R. v. Hanxleden

SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture\_10.sdd

## **Dependability Requirements**

• *Dependability*: The metafunctional attributes of a system that relate to the quality of service to its users during an extended interval of time

- > Reliability
- > Safety
- Maintainability
- > Availability
- Security
- Dependability is often *the* critical aspect of real-time systems!

R. v. Hanxleden

SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture\_10.sdd

# Reliability

- *Given:* System operational at time *t*
- *Reliability* of system:
  - > Probability R(T) that system will provide specified service throughout an interval [t, t + T]

-----

- Failure rate
  - > Expected number  $\lambda(T)$  of failures of the system for a time interval T

$$R(T) = e^{-\lambda(T)}$$

- Mean Time to Failure (MTTF):  $1/\lambda$
- *Ultrahigh reliability*: typically  $MTTF > 10^9$  hrs

R. v. Hanxleden

SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture\_10.sdd

Safety

• Malign (critical) failure mode:

 "Cost" of failure exceeds utility of system during normal operation by orders of magnitude

----------

> Airbags, airtraffic control, nuclear power plants, ...

- Benign failure mode:
  - Uncritical failures
- Safety:
  - Reliability regarding critical failure modes
- Typical:
  - Need ultra-high reliability
  - No single component failure may lead to critical system failure (e.g., TÜV)

R. v. Hanxleden

 $SS\ 2002-Real-Time\ Systems\ Programming\ -\ Lecture\_10.sdd$ 

# Maintainability

- *Given:* System with benign failure at time *t*
- Maintainability:
  - > Probability M(T) that system is repaired within [t, t + T]

.........

#### • Repair rate:

Expected number  $\mu(T)$  of repairs of the system for a time interval *T* 

 $M(T) = e^{-\mu(T)}$ 

- *Mean Time to Repair (MTTR)*: 1/μ
- <u>There is often a conflict between reliability and</u> <u>maintainability</u>
  - *Example*: hardware modularisation

R. v. Hanxleden

SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture\_10.sdd

## Availability

• Mean Time Between Failures (MTBF)

MTBF = MTTF + MTTR

• Availability:

> Probability *A* that a system will provide specified service

• For systems with constant  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ :

A = MTTF/MTBF

• Can increase A by increasing MTTF or by decreasing MTTR – or both

R. v. Hanxleden

SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture\_10.sdd

## Downtime

#### • Availability corresponds to certain *downtime*

Availability	Downtime/year	Example Component
90%	> 1 month	Unattended PC
99%	≈4 days	Maintained PC
99,9%	≈ 9 hrs	Cluster
99,99%	≈ 1 hr	Multicomputer
99,999%	≈ 5 mins	Embedded System (PC hw)
99,9999%	≈ 30 secs	ES (special hw)

[Veríssimo and Rodrigues 2001]

R. v. Hanxleden

SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture\_10.sdd

# Security

#### • Security:

- Ability to prevent unauthorized access to information or services
- Confidentiality, privacy
- Theft, fraud
- Traditionally an issue for database/transaction systems
- Increasingly relevant for embedded systems as well (message interception/alteration, property protection)
- Difficult to quantify

R. v. Hanxleden

SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture\_10.sdd

## Summary

- The *Dependability* of a system is given by its
  - *Reliability:* Measure of continuous delivery of correct service
  - Safety: Reliability wrt critical failures
  - Maintainability: Measure of time to restoration of correct service
  - Availability: Reliability + Maintainability
  - Security: Ability to prevent unauthorized access to information or services

R. v. Hanxleden

SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture\_10.sdd

# To Go Further

#### • Dependability:

F [Veríssimo and Rodrigues 2001], Chapter 6

F [Kopetz 1997], Chapter 6

F [Burns and Wellings 2001], Chapter 5

• Precise, Widely-Used Terminology on Dependability:

Laprie, J. C. (Ed.), Dependability: Basic Concepts and Terminology, Springer, 1992 (Working Group 10.4 on Fault-Tolerant Computing of the International Federation of Information Processing (IFIP))

Terms are defined in English, French, German, Japanese

R. v. Hanxleden

SS 2002 - Real-Time Systems Programming - Lecture\_10.sdd